



Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science

Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science

ISSN(Printed): 1997-2490 ISSN(Online): 2411-3514

DOI: 10.29350/jops



Some Fixed Point and Common Fixed-Point Results in Partial b-Metric Space

Ahmed Ibraheem Abd Zaid and Shaymaa Kadhim Sahib

Mathematics Department, Sciences College, Al-Qadisiyah University, Diwaniyah, Iraq

E mail: ahmed.ibraheem@qu.edu.iq

Received: 16/04/2026; Revised: 08/05/2026; Accepted: 11/05/2026.

Abstract:

In this research was our fundamental objective is to proving certain fixed point and common fixed-point results in partial b-metric space. The results that we obtained it was generalization and improvement some recent results of fixed-point theorems in partial b-metric space.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25

Key words: partial b-metric space (P. B. M. S), fixed point (F.P), common fixed point.

1. Introduction

The fixed-point theory is a very serious portion of a nonlinear-functional analysis. In 1922, Banach [3] introduced one of basic results about the field of (F.P) theory called Banach contraction principle (B. C. P) in complete metric spaces. There is a list of generalizations of metric space. One of these generalizations dates back to, S. Czerwik [6] in 1993 was introduced concept of b-metric space (B. M. S) that by multiplying the trigonometric inequality by the constant $b \geq 1$, he was proved a famous (B. C. P) in (B. M. S). As well many results have appeared in this filed, see ([14], [23], [7], [15]). In 1994, S. G. Matthews [16] present an idea of partial metric space (P. M. S) in it explained that the self-distance of any object not necessarily equal to the number zero. As well proved (B. C. P) in (P.M.S). A lot of (F.P) results were studied in this filed by other researchers see ([13], [18], [2], [4], [11]). In 2014, S. Shukla [19] present an idea of partial b metric space (P.B.M.S) and studied (B. C. P), Kannan condition in (P. B. M. S). As well many results have appeared in this filed, see ([17], [1], [20], [24], [8], [12], [22], [5], [21], [9], [10]). In this paper we prove new results of (F.P) and common (F.P) in (P. B. M. S). The results that we obtained was improvement and generalization some recent results of (F.P) theorems in (P. B. M. S).

2. Preliminaries:

Definition 2.1 [16] Assume Ψ a set that is non-empty, then a partial metric d_p on a set Ψ be a function $d_p: \Psi^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ besides satisfying the following axioms;

$$(d_{p1}) \tau = \kappa \leftrightarrow d_p(\tau, \kappa) = d_p(\tau, \tau) = d_p(\kappa, \kappa)$$

$$(d_{p2}) d_p(\tau, \tau) \leq d_p(\tau, \kappa)$$

$$(d_{p3}) d_p(\tau, \kappa) = d_p(\kappa, \tau)$$

$$(d_{p4}) d_p(\tau, \kappa) \leq d_p(\tau, h) + d_p(h, \kappa) - d_p(h, h)$$

for all $\tau, \kappa, h \in \Psi$. Then (Ψ, d_p) called (P. M. S).

Definition 2.2 [6] Assume Ψ a set that is non-empty, then a b metric d_b on a set Ψ be a function $d_b: \Psi^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ besides satisfying the following axioms;

$$(d_{b1}) d_b(\tau, \kappa) = 0 \leftrightarrow \tau = \kappa$$

$$(d_{b2}) d_b(\tau, \kappa) = d_b(\kappa, \tau)$$

$$(d_{b3}) \text{ There exists a real number } b \geq 1 \text{ such that } d_b(\tau, \kappa) \leq b[d_b(\tau, h) + d_b(h, \kappa)]$$

for all $\tau, \kappa, h \in \Psi$. Then (Ψ, d_b) called (B. M. S).

Definition 2.3 [19] Assume Ψ a set that is non-empty, then a partial b metric p on a set Ψ be a function $p: \Psi^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ besides satisfying the following axioms;

$$(d_{pb1}) \tau = \kappa \leftrightarrow d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) = d_{pb}(\tau, \tau) = d_{pb}(\kappa, \kappa)$$

$$(d_{pb2}) d_{pb}(\tau, \tau) \leq d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa)$$

$$(d_{pb3}) d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) = d_{pb}(\kappa, \tau)$$

$$(d_{pb4}) d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) \leq b[d_{pb}(\tau, h) + d_{pb}(h, \kappa)] - d_{pb}(h, h)$$

for all $\tau, \kappa, h \in \Psi$. Then (Ψ, d_{pb}) called (P. B. M. S).

In (P. B. M. S), clearly $d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) = 0$, by (d_{pb1}) , (d_{pb2}) it follows $\tau = \kappa$. Whereas the converse not hold in general. Every b-Metric defined by Partial b-Metric a as following

$$d_b(\tau, \kappa) = 2d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) - d_{pb}(\tau, \tau) - d_{pb}(\kappa, \kappa) \quad \forall \tau, \kappa \in \Psi$$

Example 2.1 [12] Let $\Psi = \mathbb{R}^+$ and $d_{pb}: \Psi^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function defined by $d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) = [\max\{\tau, \kappa\}]^4 + |\tau - \kappa|^4 \quad \forall \tau, \kappa \in \Psi$. Then (Ψ, d_{pb}) is (P. B. M. S) with $b = 2^4 > 1$. but it is neither a (B. M. S) nor (P. M. S). Indeed, for any $\tau > 0$ we have $d_{pb}(\tau, \tau) = \tau^4 \neq 0$; therefore, d_{pb} is not a b-metric on Ψ . Also for $\tau = 6, \kappa = 2, h = 3$ we have $d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) = 6^4 + 4^4$ and $d_{pb}(\tau, h) +$

$d_{pb}(h, \kappa) - d_{pb}(h, h) = 6^4 + 3^4 + 3^4 + 1^4 - 3^4 = 6^4 + 3^4 + 1^4$ so $d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) > d_{pb}(\tau, h) + d_{pb}(h, \kappa) - d_{pb}(h, h)$, $\forall b = 2^4$. Therefore, d_{pb} is not a partial metric on Ψ .

Definition 2.4 [19] Let (Ψ, d_{pb}) be (P. B. M. S)

- 1- Assume that $\{\varpi_n\}$ be a sequence in (Ψ, d_{pb}) then $\{\varpi_n\}$ called convergent to the point $\varpi \in \Psi \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi) = d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi)$
- 2- Assume that $\{\varpi_n\}$ be a sequence in (Ψ, d_{pb}) then $\{\varpi_n\}$ called Cauchy $\Leftrightarrow \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} d_{pb}(\varpi_m, \varpi_n)$ exists (finite).
- 3- If for all Cauchy sequence $\{\varpi_n\}$ in $\Psi \exists \varpi \in \Psi$ such that $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi) = d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi)$ then (Ψ, d_{pb}) called complete and denoted by (C. P. B. M. S) as short

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1 Assume that (Ψ, d_{pb}) a (C. P. B. M. S) with $b \geq 1$. Assume $T: \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$ be self-map satisfying the following condition,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(T\tau, T\kappa) \leq & Y_1 d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) + Y_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau) + d_{pb}(\kappa, T\kappa)][1 + d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa)]} \right) \\ & + Y_3 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\kappa, T\tau) + d_{pb}(\tau, T\kappa)][1 + d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa)]} \right) \\ & + Y_4 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\tau, \tau) + d_{pb}(\kappa, \kappa)][1 + d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau)]} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

$\forall \tau, \kappa \in \Psi, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4 \geq 0$ and $0 < Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3b + Y_4 < 1$. Then T has unique (F.P).

Proof: Let $\varpi_0 \in \Psi$ we define sequence $\{\varpi_n\}$ in Ψ by denoting $\varpi_{n+1} = T\varpi_n$. If $\varpi_n = \varpi_{n+1}$ for some $n \in N$ then $\varpi_n = T\varpi_n$ is (F.P) of T . Suppose $\varpi_n \neq \varpi_{n+1}$ for all $n \in N$ and using (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) &= d_{pb}(T\varpi_{n-1}, T\varpi_n) \\ &\leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n) \\ &+ Y_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, T\varpi_{n-1}) + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, T\varpi_n)][1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, T\varpi_{n-1})]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n)]} \right) \\ &+ Y_3 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi_n, T\varpi_{n-1}) + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, T\varpi_n)][1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, T\varpi_{n-1})]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n)]} \right) \\ &+ Y_4 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_{n-1}) + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_n)][1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, T\varpi_{n-1})]} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) &\leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n) \\
 &+ Y_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n) + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1})][1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n)]} \right) \\
 &+ Y_3 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_n) + d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi_{n+1})][1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n)]} \right) \\
 &+ Y_4 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_{n-1}) + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_n)][1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi_n)]} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) &\leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n) + \frac{Y_2}{2} (d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n) + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1})) \\
 &+ \frac{Y_3}{2} (d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_n) + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_{n+1})) \\
 &+ \frac{Y_4}{2} (d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_{n-1}) + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_n))
 \end{aligned}$$

By using (d_{pb2}) and (d_{pb4})

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) &\leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n) + \frac{Y_2}{2} (d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n) + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1})) \\
 &+ \frac{Y_3}{2} (d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_n) + b[d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n) + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1})] - d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_n)) \\
 &+ \frac{Y_4}{2} (d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n) + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) &\leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n) + \frac{Y_2}{2} (d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n) + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1})) \\
 &+ \frac{Y_3}{2} (b[d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n) + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1})]) \\
 &+ \frac{Y_4}{2} (d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n) + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{Y_2}{2} - \frac{Y_3 b}{2}\right) d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi_{n+1}) \leq \left(Y_1 + \frac{Y_2}{2} + \frac{Y_3 b}{2} + Y_4\right) d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n)$$

$$d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) \leq \frac{(Y_1 + \frac{Y_2}{2} + \frac{Y_3 b}{2} + Y_4)}{(1 - \frac{Y_2}{2} - \frac{Y_3 b}{2})} d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n),$$

$$\text{Putting } \beta = \frac{(Y_1 + \frac{Y_2}{2} + \frac{Y_3 b}{2} + Y_4)}{(1 - \frac{Y_2}{2} - \frac{Y_3 b}{2})}, \quad 0 < \beta < 1$$

$$d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) \leq \beta d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n) \leq \beta^2 d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-2}, \varpi_{n-1}) \leq \beta^3 d_{pb}(\varpi_{n-3}, \varpi_{n-2}) \\ \leq \dots \leq \beta^n d_{pb}(\varpi_0, \varpi_1)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) = 0$$

$$d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_m) \leq b[d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+1}, \varpi_m)] - d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+1}, \varpi_{n+1}) \\ \leq b[d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+1}, \varpi_m)] \\ \leq b d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) \\ + b \left(b[d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+1}, \varpi_{n+2}) + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+2}, \varpi_m)] - d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+2}, \varpi_{n+2}) \right) \\ \leq b d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) + b^2 d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+1}, \varpi_{n+2}) + b^2 d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+2}, \varpi_m) \\ \vdots \\ \leq b d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) + b^2 d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+1}, \varpi_{n+2}) + b^3 d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+2}, \varpi_{n+3}) + \dots \\ + b^{m-n} d_{pb}(\varpi_{m-1}, \varpi_m) \\ \leq b \beta^n d_{pb}(\varpi_0, \varpi_1) + b^2 \beta^{n+1} d_{pb}(\varpi_0, \varpi_1) + b^3 \beta^{n+2} d_{pb}(\varpi_0, \varpi_1) + \dots \\ + b^{m-n} \beta^{m-1} d_{pb}(\varpi_0, \varpi_1) \\ \leq b \beta^n (1 + b\beta + (b\beta)^2 + \dots + (b\beta)^{m-n-1}) d_{pb}(\varpi_0, \varpi_1)$$

$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_m) = 0$. Thus (from Definition 2.4 (2)) $\{\varpi_n\}$ be Cauchy sequence in Ψ . Since (Ψ, d_{pb}) is complete (P. B. M. S) then (from Definition 2.4 (3)) $\exists \varpi \in \Psi$ s.t $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi) = d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi) = 0$

We need to show that ϖ is (F. P) of T

$$d_{pb}(\varpi, T\varpi) \leq b[d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi_{n+1}) + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+1}, T\varpi)] - d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+1}, \varpi_{n+1}) \\ \leq b[d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi_{n+1}) + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+1}, T\varpi)] \\ \leq b d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi_{n+1}) + b d_{pb}(T\varpi_n, T\varpi)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq bd_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi_{n+1}) \\
 &\quad + b \left(\gamma_1 d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi) + \gamma_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi_n, T\varpi_n) + d_{pb}(\varpi, T\varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, T\varpi_n)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi)]} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad + \gamma_3 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi, T\varpi_n) + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, T\varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, T\varpi_n)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi)]} \right) \\
 &\quad \left. + \gamma_4 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_n) + d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\varpi, T\varpi_n)]} \right) \right) \\
 &\leq bd_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi_{n+1}) \\
 &\quad + b \left(\gamma_1 d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi) \right. \\
 &\quad + \gamma_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) + d_{pb}(\varpi, T\varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1})]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi)]} \right) \\
 &\quad + \gamma_3 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi_{n+1}) + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, T\varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1})]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi)]} \right) \\
 &\quad \left. + \gamma_4 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_n) + d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1})]} \right) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

By take limit when $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have $d_{pb}(\varpi, T\varpi) \leq 0$. Therefore $d_{pb}(\varpi, T\varpi) = 0$ then $\varpi = T\varpi$ hence ϖ is (F.P) of T

Uniqueness: Suppose that $\omega, \varpi \in \Psi$ are two (F. P) of T s.t $\omega \neq \varpi$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) &= d_{pb}(T\omega, T\varpi) \\
 &\leq \gamma_1 d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) + \gamma_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\omega, T\omega) + d_{pb}(\varpi, T\varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\omega, T\omega)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]} \right) \\
 &\quad + \gamma_3 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi, T\omega) + d_{pb}(\omega, T\varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\omega, T\omega)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]} \right) \\
 &\quad + \gamma_4 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\omega, \omega) + d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega, T\omega)]} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) + Y_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\omega, \omega) + d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \omega)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]} \right) \\ &+ Y_3 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi, \omega) + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \omega)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]} \right) \\ &+ Y_4 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\omega, \omega) + d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \omega)]} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $d_{pb}(\omega, \omega) \leq d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)$, $d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi) \leq d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) \forall \omega, \varpi \in \Psi$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) &\leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) + Y_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \omega)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]} \right) \\ &+ Y_3 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi, \omega) + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]} \right) \\ &+ Y_4 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) \leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) + Y_2 d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) + Y_3 d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) + Y_4 d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)$$

$$(1 - Y_1 - Y_2 - Y_3 - Y_4) d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) \leq 0$$

$d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) \leq 0$. Therefore $d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) = 0$. Thus $\omega = \varpi$ contradiction.

Corollary 3.1 Assume that (Ψ, d_{pb}) a (C. P. B. M. S) with $b \geq 1$. Assume $T: \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$ be self-map satisfying the following condition,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(T\tau, T\kappa) &\leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) + Y_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau) + d_{pb}(\kappa, T\kappa)][1 + d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa)]} \right) \\ &+ Y_3 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\kappa, T\tau) + d_{pb}(\tau, T\kappa)][1 + d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa)]} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$\forall \tau, \kappa \in \Psi$, $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \geq 0$ and $0 < Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 < 1$. Then T has unique (F. P).

Corollary 3.2 Assume that (Ψ, d_{pb}) a (C. P. B. M. S) with $b \geq 1$. Assume $T: \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$ be self-map satisfying the following condition,

$$d_{pb}(T\tau, T\kappa) \leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) + Y_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau) + d_{pb}(\kappa, T\kappa)][1 + d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa)]} \right) \\ + Y_3 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\tau, \tau) + d_{pb}(\kappa, \kappa)][1 + d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau)]} \right) \quad (3.3)$$

$\forall \tau, \kappa \in \Psi, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \geq 0$ and $0 < Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 < 1$. Then T has unique (F. P).

Corollary 3.3 Assume that (Ψ, d_{pb}) a (C. P. B. M. S) with $b \geq 1$. Assume $T: \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$ be self-map satisfying the following condition,

$$d_{pb}(T\tau, T\kappa) \leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) + Y_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\kappa, T\tau) + d_{pb}(\tau, T\kappa)][1 + d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa)]} \right) \\ + Y_3 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\tau, \tau) + d_{pb}(\kappa, \kappa)][1 + d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau)]} \right) \quad (3.4)$$

$\forall \tau, \kappa \in \Psi, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \geq 0$ and $0 < Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 < 1$. Then T has unique (F. P).

Corollary 3.4 Assume that (Ψ, d_{pb}) a (C. P. B. M. S) with $b \geq 1$. Assume $T: \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$ be self-map satisfying the following condition,

$$d_{pb}(T\tau, T\kappa) \leq \nu d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) \quad (3.5)$$

$\forall \tau, \kappa \in \Psi$, and $0 < \nu < 1$. Then T has unique (F.P).

Theorem 3.2 Assume (Ψ, d_{pb}) a complete (P. B. M. S) with $b \geq 1$. Assume $T, F: \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$ self-map satisfying the following condition,

$$d_{pb}(T\tau, F\kappa) \leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) + Y_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau) + d_{pb}(\kappa, F\kappa)][1 + d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa)]} \right) \\ + Y_3 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\kappa, T\tau) + d_{pb}(\tau, F\kappa)][1 + d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa)]} \right) \\ + Y_4 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\tau, \tau) + d_{pb}(\kappa, \kappa)][1 + d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau)]} \right) \quad (3.6)$$

$\forall \tau, \kappa \in \Psi, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4 \geq 0, 0 < Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 + Y_4 < 1$. Then T, F have unique common (F.P).

Proof: Let $\omega_0 \in \Psi$ we define sequence $\{\omega_n\}$ in Ψ by denoting $\omega_{2n+1} = T\omega_{2n}$ and $\omega_{2n+2} = F\omega_{2n+1}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{pb}(\omega_{2n+1}, \omega_{2n+2}) &= d_{pb}(T\omega_{2n}, F\omega_{2n+1}) \\
 &\leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1}) \\
 &\quad + Y_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, T\omega_{2n}) + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n+1}, F\omega_{2n+1})][1 + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, T\omega_{2n})]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1})]} \right) \\
 &\quad + Y_3 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\omega_{2n+1}, T\omega_{2n}) + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, F\omega_{2n+1})][1 + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, T\omega_{2n})]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1})]} \right) \\
 &\quad + Y_4 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n}) + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n+1}, \omega_{2n+1})][1 + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1})]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, T\omega_{2n})]} \right) \\
 &\leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1}) \\
 &\quad + Y_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1}) + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n+1}, \omega_{2n+2})][1 + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1})]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1})]} \right) \\
 &\quad + Y_3 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\omega_{2n+1}, \omega_{2n+1}) + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+2})][1 + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1})]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1})]} \right) \\
 &\quad + Y_4 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n}) + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n+1}, \omega_{2n+1})][1 + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1})]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1})]} \right) \\
 &\leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1}) + \frac{Y_2}{2} (d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1}) + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n+1}, \omega_{2n+2})) \\
 &\quad + \frac{Y_3}{2} (d_{pb}(\omega_{2n+1}, \omega_{2n+1}) + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+2})) \\
 &\quad + \frac{Y_4}{2} (d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n}) + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n+1}, \omega_{2n+1}))
 \end{aligned}$$

By using (d_{pb2}) and (d_{pb4})

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{pb}(\omega_{2n+1}, \omega_{2n+2}) &\leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1}) + \frac{Y_2}{2} (d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1}) + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n+1}, \omega_{2n+2})) \\
 &\quad + \frac{Y_3}{2} (d_{pb}(\omega_{2n+1}, \omega_{2n+1}) + b[d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1}) + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n+1}, \omega_{2n+2})] \\
 &\quad - d_{pb}(\omega_{2n+1}, \omega_{2n+1})) + \frac{Y_4}{2} (d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1}) + d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1}))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{Y_2}{2} - \frac{Y_3 b}{2}\right) d_{pb}(\omega_{2n+1}, \omega_{2n+2}) \leq \left(Y_1 + \frac{Y_2}{2} + \frac{Y_3 b}{2} + Y_4\right) d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1})$$

$$d_{pb}(\omega_{2n+1}, \omega_{2n+2}) \leq \frac{\left(Y_1 + \frac{Y_2}{2} + \frac{Y_3 b}{2} + Y_4\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{Y_2}{2} - \frac{Y_3 b}{2}\right)} d_{pb}(\omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1}),$$

$$\text{Putting } \beta = \frac{(Y_1 + \frac{Y_2}{2} + \frac{Y_3 b}{2} + Y_4)}{(1 - \frac{Y_2}{2} - \frac{Y_3 b}{2})}, \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(\varpi_{2n+1}, \varpi_{2n+2}) &\leq \beta d_{pb}(\varpi_{2n}, \varpi_{2n+1}) \leq \beta^2 d_{pb}(\varpi_{2n-1}, \varpi_{2n}) \leq \beta^3 d_{pb}(\varpi_{2n-2}, \varpi_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq \dots \leq \beta^n d_{pb}(\varpi_0, \varpi_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{pb}(\varpi_{2n+1}, \varpi_{2n+2}) = 0$$

$$\text{In general, } d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) \leq \beta^n d_{pb}(\varpi_0, \varpi_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_m) &\leq b[d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+1}, \varpi_m)] - d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+1}, \varpi_{n+1}) \\ &\leq b[d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+1}, \varpi_m)] \\ &\leq b d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + b \left(b[d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+1}, \varpi_{n+2}) + d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+2}, \varpi_m)] - d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+2}, \varpi_{n+2}) \right) \\ &\leq b d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) + b^2 d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+1}, \varpi_{n+2}) + b^2 d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+2}, \varpi_m) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq b d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_{n+1}) + b^2 d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+1}, \varpi_{n+2}) + b^3 d_{pb}(\varpi_{n+2}, \varpi_{n+3}) + \dots \\ &\quad + b^{m-n} d_{pb}(\varpi_{m-1}, \varpi_m) \\ &\leq b \beta^n d_{pb}(\varpi_0, \varpi_1) + b^2 \beta^{n+1} d_{pb}(\varpi_0, \varpi_1) + b^3 \beta^{n+2} d_{pb}(\varpi_0, \varpi_1) + \dots \\ &\quad + b^{m-n} \beta^{m-1} d_{pb}(\varpi_0, \varpi_1) \\ &\leq b \beta^n (1 + b\beta + (b\beta)^2 + \dots + (b\beta)^{m-n-1}) d_{pb}(\varpi_0, \varpi_1) \end{aligned}$$

$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_m) = 0$. Thus (from Definition 2.4 (2)) $\{\varpi_n\}$ be Cauchy sequence in Ψ . Since Ψ is complete (P. B. B. S) then (from Definition 2.4 (3)) $\exists \varpi \in \Psi$ s.t

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi_m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{pb}(\varpi_n, \varpi) = d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi) = 0$$

We need to show that ϖ is common (F. P) of T, F

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(\varpi, T\varpi) &\leq b[d_{pb}(\varpi, F\varpi_{2n+1}) + d_{pb}(F\varpi_{2n+1}, T\varpi)] - d_{pb}(F\varpi_{2n+1}, F\varpi_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq b[d_{pb}(\varpi, F\varpi_{2n+1}) + d_{pb}(F\varpi_{2n+1}, T\varpi)] \\ &\leq b d_{pb}(\varpi, F\varpi_{2n+1}) + b d_{pb}(F\varpi_{2n+1}, T\varpi) \\ &\leq b d_{pb}(\varpi, F\varpi_{2n+1}) + b d_{pb}(T\varpi, F\varpi_{2n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq bd_{pb}(\varpi, F\varpi) \\
 &\quad + b \left[\Upsilon_1 d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi_{2n+1}) \right. \\
 &\quad + \Upsilon_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi, T\varpi) + d_{pb}(\varpi_{2n+1}, F\varpi_{2n+1})][1 + d_{pb}(\varpi, T\varpi)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi_{2n+1})]} \right) \\
 &\quad + \Upsilon_3 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi, F\varpi_{2n+1}) + d_{pb}(\varpi_{2n+1}, T\varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\varpi, T\varpi)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi_{2n+1})]} \right) \\
 &\quad \left. + \Upsilon_4 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi) + d_{pb}(\varpi_{2n+1}, \varpi_{2n+1})][1 + d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi_{2n+1})]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\varpi, T\varpi)]} \right) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

By take limit when $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $d_{pb}(\varpi, T\varpi) \leq 0$. Therefore $d_{pb}(\varpi, T\varpi) = 0$. Hence $\varpi = T\varpi$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{pb}(\varpi, F\varpi) &\leq b[d_{pb}(\varpi, T\varpi_{2n}) + d_{pb}(T\varpi_{2n}, F\varpi)] - d_{pb}(T\varpi_{2n}, T\varpi) \\
 &\leq b[d_{pb}(\varpi, T\varpi_{2n}) + d_{pb}(T\varpi_{2n}, F\varpi)] \\
 &\leq bd_{pb}(\varpi, T\varpi_{2n}) + bd_{pb}(T\varpi_{2n}, F\varpi) \\
 &\leq bd_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi_{2n+1}) \\
 &\quad + b \left[\Upsilon_1 d_{pb}(\varpi_{2n}, \varpi) \right. \\
 &\quad + \Upsilon_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi_{2n}, \varpi_{2n+1}) + d_{pb}(\varpi, F\varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_{2n}, \varpi_{2n+1})]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_{2n}, \varpi)]} \right) \\
 &\quad + \Upsilon_3 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi_{2n}, F\varpi) + d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi_{2n+1})][1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_{2n}, \varpi_{2n+1})]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_{2n}, \varpi)]} \right) \\
 &\quad \left. + \Upsilon_4 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi_{2n}, \varpi_{2n}) + d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_{2n}, \varpi)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\varpi_{2n}, \varpi_{2n+1})]} \right) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

By take limit when $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have $d_{pb}(\varpi, F\varpi) \leq 0$.

Therefore $d_{pb}(\varpi, F\varpi) = 0$. hence $\varpi = F\varpi$. Then ϖ is common (F. P) of T and F

Uniqueness

Suppose that $\omega, \varpi \in \Psi$ are two (F. P) of T and F s.t $T\omega = F\varpi = \varpi, T\omega = F\varpi = \omega$ and $\omega \neq \varpi$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) &= d_{pb}(T\omega, F\varpi) \\
 &\leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) + Y_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\omega, T\omega) + d_{pb}(\varpi, F\varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\omega, T\omega)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]} \right) \\
 &\quad + Y_3 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\varpi, T\omega) + d_{pb}(\omega, F\varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\omega, T\omega)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]} \right) \\
 &\quad + Y_4 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\omega, \omega) + d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega, T\omega)]} \right) \\
 d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) &\leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) + Y_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]} \right) \\
 &\quad + Y_3 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]} \right) \\
 &\quad + Y_4 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\omega, \omega) + d_{pb}(\varpi, \varpi)][1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)]} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

By using (d_{pb2})

$$d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) \leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) + Y_2 d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) + Y_3 d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) + Y_4 d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi)$$

$$(1 - Y_1 - Y_2 - Y_3 - Y_4) d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) \leq 0$$

Therefor $d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) \leq 0$. Thus $d_{pb}(\omega, \varpi) = 0$. Then $\omega = \varpi$ contraction.

Corollary 3.5 Assume (Ψ, d_{pb}) a complete (P. B. M. S) with $b \geq 1$. Assume $T, F: \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$ self-map satisfying the following condition,

$$d_{pb}(T\tau, F\kappa) \leq Y d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) \tag{3.7}$$

$\forall \tau, \kappa \in \Psi, 0 < Y < 1$. Then T, F has common unique (F. P).

Corollary 3.6 Assume (Ψ, d_{pb}) a complete (P. B. M. S) with $b \geq 1$. Assume $T, F: \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$ self-map satisfying the following condition,

$$d_{pb}(T\tau, F\kappa) \leq Y_1 d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) + Y_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau) + d_{pb}(\kappa, F\kappa)][1 + d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa)]} \right) \tag{3.8}$$

$\forall \tau, \kappa \in \Psi, Y_1, Y_2 \geq 0$ and $0 < Y_1 + Y_2 < 1$. Then T, F has common unique (F. P).

Corollary 3.7 Assume (Ψ, d_{pb}) a complete (P. B. M. S) with $b \geq 1$. Assume $T, F: \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$ self-map satisfying the following condition,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(T\tau, F\kappa) \leq & Y_1 d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) + Y_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau) + d_{pb}(\kappa, F\kappa)][1 + d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa)]} \right) \\ & + Y_3 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\kappa, T\tau) + d_{pb}(\tau, F\kappa)][1 + d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa)]} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

$\forall \tau, \kappa \in \Psi, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \geq 0$ and $0 < Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 b < 1$. Then T, F has common unique (F. P).

Corollary 3.8 Assume (Ψ, d_{pb}) a complete (P. B. M. S) with $b \geq 1$. Assume $T, F: \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$ self-map satisfying the following condition,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(T\tau, F\kappa) \leq & Y_1 d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) + Y_2 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau) + d_{pb}(\kappa, F\kappa)][1 + d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa)]} \right) \\ & + Y_4 \left(\frac{[d_{pb}(\tau, \tau) + d_{pb}(\kappa, \kappa)][1 + d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa)]}{2[1 + d_{pb}(\tau, T\tau)]} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

$\forall \tau, \kappa \in \Psi, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \geq 0$ and $0 < Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 < 1$. Then T, F has common unique (F. P).

Example 3.1 Let $\Psi = [-10, 10]$. Define the function $d_{pb}: \Psi \times \Psi \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) = (|\tau| + |\kappa| + 2)^2 \forall \tau, \kappa \in \Psi$. Then (Ψ, d_{pb}) is (P. B. M. S). Define $T: \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$ s.t $Tr = \frac{\tau}{10} \forall \tau \in \Psi$. By applying theorem (3.1) with $Y_1 = 0.5, Y_2 = 0.4, Y_3 = Y_4 = 0, b = 2$ we can show that show that T has (F. P) or not

$$Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 b + Y_4 = (0.5) + (0.4) + 0 + 0 = 0.9 \text{ so } 0 < Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 b + Y_4 < 1$$

$$d_{pb}(\tau, \kappa) = (|\tau| + |\kappa| + 2)^2$$

$$d_{pb}(T\tau, T\kappa) = d_{pb}\left(\frac{\tau}{10}, \frac{\kappa}{10}\right) = \left(\frac{|\tau|}{10} + \frac{|\kappa|}{10} + 2\right)^2 = \left(\frac{|\tau| + |\kappa| + 20}{10}\right)^2$$

$$d_{pb}(T\tau, \tau) = d_{pb}\left(\frac{\tau}{10}, \tau\right) = \left(\frac{|\tau|}{10} + |\tau| + 2\right)^2 = \left(\frac{11|\tau| + 20}{10}\right)^2$$

$$d_{pb}(T\kappa, \kappa) = d_{pb}\left(\frac{\kappa}{10}, \kappa\right) = \left(\frac{|\kappa|}{10} + |\kappa| + 2\right)^2 = \left(\frac{11|\kappa| + 20}{10}\right)^2$$

$$d_{pb}(T\tau, \kappa) = d_{pb}\left(\frac{\tau}{10}, \kappa\right) = \left(\frac{|\tau|}{10} + |\kappa| + 2\right)^2 = \left(\frac{|\tau| + 10|\kappa| + 20}{10}\right)^2$$

$$d_{pb}(T\kappa, \tau) = d_{pb}\left(\frac{\kappa}{10}, \tau\right) = \left(\frac{|\kappa|}{10} + |\tau| + 2\right)^2 = \left(\frac{|\kappa| + 10|\tau| + 20}{10}\right)^2$$

Now, we can show that $\forall \tau, \kappa \in [-10, 10]$ with $\Upsilon_1 = 0.5$, $\Upsilon_2 = 0.4$, $\Upsilon_3 = \Upsilon_4 = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{|\tau| + |\kappa| + 20}{10}\right)^2 \\ & \leq (0.5)(|\tau| + |\kappa| + 2)^2 \\ & + (0.4) \left[\frac{\left(\left(\frac{11|\tau| + 20}{10}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{11|\kappa| + 20}{10}\right)^2\right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{11|\tau| + 20}{10}\right)^2\right)}{2(1 + (|\tau| + |\kappa| + 2)^2)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Thus each condition of theorem (3.1) verified while 0 be unique (F. P) of T .

References

- [1] T. Abdeljawad, K. Abodayeh and N. M. Mlaiki, On fixed point generalizations to partial b-metric spaces, J. Comput. Anal. Appl, (19)5, (2015), 883-891.
- [2] M. C. Arya, N. Chandra and M. C. Joshi, A coincidence point theorem in partial metric space, GANITA, vol.68(2), (2018), 1-6.
- [3] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales." Fundamenta mathematicae 3.1 (1922), 133-181.
- [4] U. Y. Batsari, P. Kvmam and S. Dhompongsa, Fixed points of terminating mappings in partial metric space, J. Fixed point theory Appl, (2019), 21-39.
- [5] Y. Chang and G. Hongyan, Generalized (α, ξ, h, τ) -Geraghty contractive mappings and common fixed point results in partial b-metric spaces, AIMS Mathematics, 9(7), (2024).
- [6] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav. 5(11), (1993).
- [7] S. Dewangan and S. K. Tiwari, Common Fixed Point And Coincidence Point Theorem For Expansive Mapping In b-Metric Spaces, International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology, (67) (5), 157-167, (2021).
- [8] Y. U. Gaba, A. A. Collins and D. J. Leko, Chatterjea type fixed point in Partial b-metric spaces, arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.03108 (2019).
- [9] A. Gupta and M. Rahul, Common Fixed Point Theorems under Interpolative Boyd–Wong and Matkowski Type Contractions in Partial-Metric Spaces, Mathematical Notes, 5(1), (2025).
- [10] A. Gupta and M. Rahul, fixed-point theorems using interpolative boyd-wong-type contractions and interpolative matkowski-type contractions on partial b-metric spaces. Проблемы анализа 14(1), (2025), 107-118.
- [11] A. M. Hashim and A. I. Abd Zaid, Some Fixed point maps satisfying generalize condition (B) in partially ordered partial metric space, Basrah Journal of Science, 38(2), (2020), 157-173.

- [12] A. M. Hashim and H. A. Bakry, Fixed points theorems for ciric' mappings in partial b-metric space, Basrah Journal of Science, 37(1) (2019), 16-24.
- [13] X. Hunng, C. Zhu and X. Wen, Fixed point theorems for expanding mappings in partial metric space, An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta, Vol. 20 (1), (2012), 213- 224.
- [14] J. M. Joseph, D. D. Roselin and M. Marudai, Fixed point theorems on multi valued mappings in b-metric spaces, SpringerPlus 5:217, (2016).
- [15] A. G. Kalo, K.K. Tola and H. E. Yesuf, Fixed point results for Geraghty Ciri'c-type contraction mappings in b-metric space with applications, Fixed Point Theory and Algorithms for Sciences and Engineering, 8(2024), (2024).
- [16] S. G. Matthews, Partial metric topology, in proceeding of the 8th summer conference on General, Topology and Applications, Ann. New York, Acad. Sci, Vol.728, (1994), 183-197.
- [17] Z. Mustafa, et al, Some common fixed point results in ordered partial b-metric spaces, Journal of inequalities and applications, (2013)1, (2013).
- [18] T. Nazir, S. Silvestrov and M. abbas, Common fixed point results of four maps in ordered partial metric space, Wave Wavelets Fractals. Adv. Anal, (2016), 2, 49-63.
- [19] S. Shukla, Partial b-metric spaces and fixed point theorems, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, (11), (2014), 703-711.
- [20] D. Singh, et al, Some applications of fixed point results for generalized two classes of Boyd–Wong's F-contraction in partial b-metric spaces, Mathematical Sciences, 12, (2018), 111-127.
- [21] S. Tiwari, and L. K. Dewangan, Fixed Point and Common Fixed Point Theorems for Single Valued and Multivalued Mappings in Partial b-Metric Spaces, Indian Journal of Science and Technology, (17), (2024), 59-64.
- [22] A. Tomar, M. Joshi, and V. Bhatt, Fixed point and a Cantilever beam problem in a partial b-metric space, Acta Universitatis Sapientiae, Mathematica (13)2, (2021), 506-518.
- [23] M. B. Zada, M. Sarwar and P. Kumam, Fixed Point Results Of Rational Type Contractions In B-Metric Spaces, International Journal of Analysis and Applications, (16)(6), (2018), 904-920.
- [24] J. Zhou, D. Zheng and G. Zhang, Fixed point theorems in partial b-metric spaces, Appl. Math. Sci, (12), (2018), 617-624.